

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Monday - 9 December 1968

Page 3

25X1 10. () - JGO) Met with Mr. Charles Johnson, Staff Director, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, who advised that the Committee has not seen the Kappel report and has little more information about it than has been seen in the Jerry Klutz column. In consequence, he is not able to discuss it with any authority. He noted that it is somewhat surprising that the Committee and the people at the Civil Service Commission did not consult with the Post Office Committee members since much of the executive pay positioning in the 1964 Pay Act was the result of Committee rather than Civil Service Commission thinking. In passing, Mr. Johnson noted that should the Kappel report downgrade the relative standing of executive positions such as the DCI, the Administrator of Veterans Administration or the Comptroller-General, appropriate corrections would have to be made by the Committee through new legislation.

25X1 11. () Met with Mr. James Wilson, House Science and Astronautics Committee, and briefed him on the following items: Soviet preparation for flight test of their largest space booster; doubtful moon shots by the Soviets by December; and, Soviet demonstration of fly-by-rendezvous techniques. Also discussed briefly with Mr. Wilson, the George Wilson WASHINGTON POST item of 4 December. It was indicated that George Wilson enjoys a high professional reputation with the Science and Astronautics Committee. He has been found to be most cooperative and competent in his dealings with the Committee.

25X1 12. () - CEA) Bud Myers, in the office of Representative Andrew Jacobs, (D., Ind.), called to inquire if there is a public law prohibiting discussion of CIA. He said that an Indiana college professor had been in touch with them because students at an Indiana university had challenged an Agency recruiter to debate and he had told them that he could not debate because of such a law. I told Myers I felt there had been a misunderstanding as there is no such law although it is standard Agency practice not to comment on Agency affairs for reasons which are apparent. I also referred him to the National Security Act of 1947 creating the Agency and the section which requires the Director to protect intelligence sources and methods. Myers said this would be more than adequate for his purposes.

SECRET